Incidence of White Spot Lesion During Fixed Orthodontic Treatment
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Development of white spot lesion (WSL) is one of the main side effects of fixed orthodontic treatment (FOT). The aim of this study was to investigate the incidence of WSL during FOT and to determine the significant factors.

Materials and Methods: One hundred and fifty patients (78 girls, 72 boys) were randomly selected to determine incipient WSL development. Labial surfaces on pretreatment and posttreatment photographs were scored with a standardized visual scoring system.

Results: The prevalence of WSL is 21% before FOT. After FOT, 65% of patients presented WSL. The incidence of patients who developed at least one new WSL during FOT was 55%. Only 35% of patients were free of WSL all the time. Age at start of treatment and oral hygiene were significantly associated with new WSL development (p=0.004 and p=0.018, respectively). Gender and treatment length were not associated with new WSL development.

Conclusion: The incidence of WSL in patients treated with FOT was significantly high, and it appeared that the preventive therapies were insufficient. Clinicians should evaluate the oral hygiene status of patients and if possible, should not begin treatment at an early age. (Turkish J Orthod 2013;26:98–102)
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INTRODUCTION

White spot lesions (WSL) are among the most undesired side effects of fixed orthodontic treatment, and estimates of the prevalence of WSL arising during fixed orthodontic treatment range widely from 2% to 96%.1–8 Orthodontic patients are more susceptible to the development of WSL than untreated patients9,10 because they carry brackets, bands, and different types of archwires for a long time, which impair oral hygiene and increase plaque retention sites.1,2,11,12 As a result of plaque accumulation, the oral environment can be prepared for enamel demineralization.13–15

If adequate amounts of salivary or plaque calcium, phosphate ions, and fluoride ions are present in the oral environment, they can promote remineralization and prevent WSL.16 However, it is generally accepted that fluoride reduces the rate of demineralization; fluoride treatment might be insufficient on the bacterially produced lower pH conditions.17 In contemporary bonding systems, fluoride-releasing bonding materials were introduced, but these materials seem to have minimal or no positive effect on enamel demineralization.18,19

In general dentistry, several approaches were previously described for detection of WSL, including fiber-optic transillumination, ultraviolet-light application, fluorescent-dye uptake, and laser fluorescence.20 However, photographic images are used routinely in orthodontic clinics; therefore, it seems to be the simplest and most clinically relevant approach for detection of WSL. It was reported that the using photographic image analysis systems and photographic image to measure WSL.21,22
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There is no consensus on which sex is more inclined to WSL incidence: one study found that female patients have a higher incidence of WSL, another found that male patients have higher incidence, and a third study found no significant differences in WSLs incidence.

Even though it was known that long treatment period, poor oral hygiene, unconscious patient are more suspect, not enough quantitative studies have supported this intervention. The present study was designed to investigate the effect of gender, treatment period, age at start of treatment, and oral hygiene on the incidence of WSL.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from Selçuk University Dentistry Faculty Ethics Committee (2012-30). One hundred fifty-six patient records were randomly selected by using random number sequences generated at www.random.org from patients treated in the orthodontic clinic at the University of Selçuk Faculty of Dentistry between 2008 and 2012. Inclusion criteria for record selection consist of patients who (1) underwent fixed orthodontic treatment (FOT) with full fixed appliances; (2) had no hypodontia and extraction treatment; (3) had both complete initial and final series of intraoral photographs in the same format; (4) had no dental structural abnormalities or frontal fillings, veneers, or other reconstructions; and (5) had enough quality records.

Oral hygiene score was determined from the doctors’ evaluation notes of the patient. If the patient had bleeding after brushing, the patient was assessed as having poor oral hygiene. If the patient had no bleeding, the patient was assessed as having good oral hygiene.

The patient’s date of birth, gender, treatment beginning date, treatment period, and hygiene score were determined, and groups were separated by these determinations. WSL scoring was done from first molar to other first molar for both jaws. Routine clinical photographs were collected to examine the WSL score. The examination and scoring were done by 2 examiners (M.A. and M.T.) at separate times. Because there was no consensus on the WSL scoring of 6 patients, they were excluded from the study. The following modified WSL scoring system introduced by Gorelick et al. was used for the visual examination:

- score 0 = no white spot formation,
- score 1 = mild white spot formation,
- score 2 = severe white spot formation, and
- score 3 = white spot formation with cavitation.

Statistical Analysis

The increasing WSL index before and after treatments was determined. All statistics were performed with SPSS version 17.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Because the scoring system was used for determination, nonparametric tests were used for statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics were obtained for all groups. Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare the groups. Qualitative data were compared by using kappa test. A $p$ value $< 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A histogram of the prevalence of WSL before orthodontic treatment is shown in Figure 1. The overall prevalence of patients who have at least 1 WSL before orthodontic treatment was 21% ($n=32$). The prevalence of WSL in girls is 22%, in boys 20%, and there is no differences between girls and boys ($p=0.686$). Only mild WSL formation was observed in initial records.

After FOT, 35% (32% girls and 39% of boys) of patients were free of WSL, and the remaining patients (65%) showed WSL with various degrees of severity (Fig. 2). Of all patients, 35% had only mild WSL, and the remaining WSL patients were affected severely, either with severe (25%) WSL or with cavitation (5%). There were no differences between girls and boys ($p=0.468$).

Table 1 presents the relationship between the development of WSL score and the independent variables. Demographic variables of gender and treatment length were not significantly related to the development of new WSL and the severity of WSL ($p=0.412$ and $p=0.086$, respectively); however, age at the start of treatment and oral hygiene were significantly related to the development of new WSL and the severity of WSL ($p=0.004$ and $p=0.018$, respectively).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we aimed at analyzing the effect of gender, age at start of treatment, oral hygiene care, and treatment length for orthodontic patients on the prevalence and incidence of WSL.
Unfortunately for this retrospective study, no information on patients’ socioeconomic status, frequency of consulting the dental clinic, or prophylactic fluoride therapy was available.

The use of intraoral photographs with or without computer-assisted image analysis for WSL determination in orthodontic patients is a well-accepted method. The standardized initial and final FOT photographs have been taken routinely in orthodontic clinics. This digital system has many advantages: records are permanent, and they can be examined later and reexamined multiple times; photographs can be digitized and classified independently by many examiners; and the severity of the lesion can be measured by measuring the degree of colors. However, determining the WSL scores by photograph may not be entirely accurate because lighting, angulation, and magnification may vary at different time points. Controlling these factors will make the photographic records sound for longitudinal study. Fortunately, a professional photographer with a reliable standard procedure took all photographs evaluated in this study.

The simplest semiquantitative classification system, which had been introduced by Gorelick et al., was chosen for the evaluation of WSL incidences. The original or modification of this scoring system is commonly used for evaluation of WSL. In orthodontic literature, several studies on WSL used intraoral photographs for caries determinations. These reports, however, showed large variations in the incidence of WSL. These variations might have been due to the different methods or severe modification of the main scoring system. Therefore, we followed the main scoring system.

In cross-sectional design, Gorelick et al. compared orthodontically treated patients with untreated controls. Tufekci et al. compared orthodontic patients in control and treatment group at 6 and 12 months into treatment by control group. These studies reported on the prevalence of WSL in 2 or 3 groups. Our study was designed to report the true incidence of labial lesions by comparing the same 150 patients longitudinally at 2 time points.

The prevalence of WSL in a control group or before treatment as reported in the literature is between 11% and 24%. The present study is consistent with these studies (21%). In contrast, Mizrahi, Ogaard, and Pancherz and Mühlich reported much higher WSL prevalence before treatment (from 70.4% to 85%).

The WSL incidence in our study was 55% (59% for girls and 51% for boys), resulting in a WSL prevalence of 65%. Enia found incidence of WSL to be 60.9% and prevalence of WSL 73.5% after treatment, but they were assessing only four incisor teeth. Gorelick et al. using the same WSL index, reported a WSL incidence of 49.6% during FOT. Thus, the incidence and prevalence after treatment in our study were consistent with the literature, indicating that the general prophylactic procedures used in the past were obviously insufficient to prevent WSL in an adequate percentage of patients.

All WSL patients had mild lesions before treatment and more than half of WSL patients had mild lesions after treatment. Almost all other investigators who observed WSL before and after fixed orthodontic treatment reported similar findings. Generally all studies and also present

Figure 1. Prevalence of white spot lesions before treatment.

Figure 2. Prevalence of white spot lesions and severity scores before treatment and after treatment (kappa=0.051).
study prevalence or incidence designed to determine WSL in same clinic especially in university. Therefore, they do not include too much variation such as geographic and socioeconomic status. Although age at start of treatment and patient oral hygiene were significant factors, gender and treatment length were not significant factors in WSL development according to the present study. Richter et al. determined that age at start of treatment and the patient's oral hygiene were significant factors in WSL development, consistent with the present study. In contrast, we determined that treatment length was not a significant factor in WSL development. It has been reported that use of prophylactic fluoride during orthodontic treatment might inhibit WSL development. The lack of present study was deficient of fluoride chart information. Orthodontic clinicians and training programs should put greater effort in preventing the WSL that most orthodontic patients experience. Despite the high incidence of WSL associated with FOT, fortunately relatively few of these lesions progress so fast that, the FOT have to be finished early and insufficiently or upon removal of the orthodontic appliances, a restoration is indicated. If preventive dental care, supporting fluoride therapy, excellent oral hygiene care do not pervade, the scientists will continue make study about WSL.

**CONCLUSION**

By using only standardized general photographic records, this study showed a high incidence of newly developed WSL (55%) in patients treated with comprehensive FOT. Although gender and treatment length were not associated with WSL development, a significant association was evidenced with age at start of treatment and oral hygiene.
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